arXiv:1609.05580v1 [math.CO] 19 Sep 2016

ABELIAN SQUARES AND THEIR PROGENIES

CHARLES BURNETTE AND CHUNG WONG

Abstract. A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ is strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable if P has no zeroes in the closed unit polydisc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^d$. For such a polynomial define its spectral density function as $\mathcal{S}_P(\mathbf{z}) = \left(P(\mathbf{z})\overline{P(1/\overline{\mathbf{z}})}\right)^{-1}$. An abelian square is a finite string of the form ww' where w' is a rearrangement of w.

We examine a polynomial-valued operator whose spectral density function's Fourier coefficients are all generating functions for combinatorial classes of constrained finite strings over an alphabet of d characters. These classes generalize the notion of an abelian square, and their associated generating functions are the Fourier coefficients of one, and essentially only one, $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$-valued operator. Integral representations, divisibility properties, and recurrent and asymptotic behavior of the coefficients of these generating functions are given as consequences. Tools in the derivations of our asymptotic formulas include a version of Laplace's method for sums over lattice point translations due to Greenhill, Janson, and Ruciński, a version of stationary phase method for oscillatory integrals with complex phase due to Pemantle and Wilson, and various polynomial identities related to powers of modified Bessel functions of the first kind due to Moll and Vignat.

Our results also suggest connections between analytic combinatorics and abstract harmonic analysis. The key reasons behind the uniqueness of our constructions apply to any compact abelian group G. In particular, if a family of ordinary generating functions that enumerate a family of unlabelled combinatorial classes is the image of an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\widehat{G})$ under the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ of some operator $f : \mathbb{R} \to L^2(G)$, then $\|\mathcal{F}f(\cdot; x)\|_2^2$ is the ordinary generating function for the disjoint union of the twofold products of these classes, thus ascribing a combinatorial meaning to Parseval's equation.

1. Introduction

For $r = 1, 2, \ldots$, the r^{th} *power sum symmetric polynomial* in d variables is

$$p_{r,d}(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} x_k^r.$$

Power sum symmetric polynomials fall within the scope of the theory of symmetric functions and are exposited in various textbooks, for instance, those of Stanley [49] and Macdonald [37]. Although power sum symmetric polynomials habitually surface in commutative algebra and representation theory, the approach adopted here is purely analytic.

A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ is said to be *stable* with respect to a region $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$, or simply Ω-*stable*, if P is zero-free in Ω, and *strongly* Ω-*stable* if P is

Date: September 20, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 05A15, 05A16, 42B05.

Key words and phrases. abelian squares, stable polynomials, spectral density functions, power sum symmetric polynomials, Fourier coefficients, Parikh vectors, ordinary generating functions.

zero-free in the closure $\overline{\Omega}$. (We caution readers that the identically zero polynomial is sometimes considered stable elsewhere in the mathematical literature. However, this convention bears nothing on our work here.) From a combinatorial perspective, the comprehensive study of polynomial stability arose historically from the desire to characterize linear operators on polynomials that preserve real-rootedness along with the observation that a univariate real polynomial is real-rooted if and only if it is $\mathcal{H}$-stable, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the complex upper half plane. Read [17] for more background on this subject. Borcea and Brändén achieved a complete classification of such operators in [7] and [9].

Interest in polynomial stability has surged recently thanks in large part to the dazzling solution of the Kadison-Singer problem by Marcus et al. [38]. Successful treatments of $\mathcal{H}^d$-stable polynomials can be traced to a seminal paper by Borcea and Brändén [8]. Their results translate conveniently into facts about Ω-stable polynomials when Ω is a product of open circular regions. (See [54, section 9.1].) Deep consequences of the geometry behind such polynomials in probability and enumerative combinatorics have since been uncovered. Notable examples reside in matroid theory [15,52,53], the theory of negative dependence [10], and strengthened proofs of the van der Waerden, Monotone Column Permanent, and BMV conjectures [11, 34, 48, respectively]. Surveys [54] and [42] provide excellent summaries of these topics.

This paper is particularly concerned with strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable polynomials, where $\mathbb{D}$ is the complex open unit disc. Given a strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable polynomial P define its *spectral density function* as $\mathcal{S}_P(\mathbf{z}) = \left(P(\mathbf{z})\overline{P(1/\overline{\mathbf{z}})}\right)^{-1}$ where $\overline{\mathbf{z}} = (\overline{z_1}, \ldots, \overline{z_d})$ and $1/\mathbf{z} = (1/z_1, \ldots, 1/z_d)$. Notice that the restriction of $\mathcal{S}_P(\mathbf{z})$ to the unit polycircle $\mathbb{T}^d$ is $|P(\mathbf{z})|^{-2}$. The strong $\mathbb{D}^d$-stability of P thus ensures that $\mathcal{S}_P$ is analytic on a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^d$.

Among all positive functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$, the spectral density functions of strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable polynomials warrant special attention. They are pivotal in framing the Bernstein-Szegő measure moment problem and in identifying the strictly positive trigonometric polynomials of one and two variables that admit spectral Fejér-Riesz factorizations. Both of these are discussed further in Section 6.3. Attempts to incorporate these problems into a general framework for multivariable orthogonal polynomials has culminated in a wealth of profound mathematics during the 20th century with rich applications in signal processing [29], systems theory [24, 25], and wavelets [18, Ch. 6] to name a few.

Spectral density functions of strongly $\mathbb{D}$-stable polynomials also possess a so-called *maximum entropy property*. (See [12].) More specifically, given complex numbers c_k, $k = 0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n$, if there exists an nth degree stable polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ whose spectral density function $\mathcal{S}_P$ has Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_P}(k) = c_k$, $k = -n, \ldots, n$, then $\mathcal{S}_P$ maximizes the Burg entropy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(f(e^{i\theta}))\, \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

where $f > 0$ on $\mathbb{T}$ and $\widehat{f}(k) = c_k$ for $k = -n, \ldots, n$. This variational property as well as the classical results mentioned in the preceding paragraph motivate the description of spectral density functions of several complex variables. Spectral

density functions are also clues toward unveiling appropriate higher-dimensional analogues of Bernstein-Szegő measures and Fejér-Riesz factorizations.

Our objective here is neither to survey nor to merely augment the corpus of research into the characteristic phenomena of spectral density functions. Rather, we wondered whether there are any connections between the theory of strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable polynomials and combinatorics that lie outside of the immediate scope of Borcea and Brändén's work. Searching for potential insights, we investigated the polynomials $p[x]^*_{r,3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 1 - xp_{r,3}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ for $|x| < 1/3$ along with their spectral density functions $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}$. Treating each $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}$ as a function of x, one can calculate the Maclaurin series expansions of the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}}(j, k, l)$. To start, we computed the first few Taylor coefficients of

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}}(0,0,0) = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3})\, \mathrm{d}\theta_1 \mathrm{d}\theta_2 \mathrm{d}\theta_3$$

and obtained the following sequence of integers:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\dfrac{\partial_x^n \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}}(0,0,0)\vert_{x=0}}{n!}$	1	0	3	0	15	0	93	0	639	0	4653	0	35 169

Upon inputting the nonzero entries into Neil Sloane's *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* [40], we received a match in sequence A002893. This goaded us into pinpointing a combinatorial interpretation of these Taylor coefficients. We especially homed in on Jeffrey Shallit's comment that entry A002893 is the number of abelian squares of length $2n$ over a 3-letter alphabet.

Given a nonempty, finite set Σ of characters, an *abelian square* over Σ is a string in the free monoid Σ^* of the form ww' where $w \in \Sigma^*$ and w' is a rearrangement of w. Six examples of English abelian squares are `noon`, `tartar`, `intestines`, `reappear`, `mesosome`, and `aa`, the last one being both the shortest and alphabetically first abelian square in the English language. The concept of an abelian square was introduced by Erdős in [22]. Richmond and Shallit expound the enumeration of abelian squares in [44]. In [13], Callan interweaves abelian squares with card deals and lattice paths in order to devise direct combinatorial interpretations of binomial identities appearing in [50].

Although it veers from our original goal, concentrating on the commonalities shared by the Taylor coefficients of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}}(0,0,0)$ and the number of abelian squares over a 3-letter alphabet was a fruitful diversion. In fact, every Fourier coefficient $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,3}}}(j, k, l)$ is encoded with combinatorial data. In Chapter 3 of this manuscript, we exhibit multidimensional generalizations of $p[x]^*_{r,3}$, which we shall refer to as the stabilized power sum symmetric polynomials, whose spectral density functions are essentially the only $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$-valued operators whose Fourier coefficients are all ordinary generating functions (abbreviated OGF hereafter) for certain classes of constrained finite strings over an alphabet of d characters. Multiple properties belonging to the coefficients of these OGFs, including recurrent and asymptotic behavior, are deduced in Chapters 4 and 5.

Chapter 6, finally, touches on some of the peripheral mathematics surrounding the harmonic analysis of the spectral density functions of stabilized power sum

symmetric polynomials. In Section 6.1, we formulate a combinatorial counterpart to Parseval's equation. We then ponder how our own results fit into the larger panorama of outstanding questions regarding strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable polynomials and their spectral density functions in Sections 6.2 and 6.3.

2. Notational Conventions

Taking a page from measure theory, we present the following decomposition of integers. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $a^+ = \max(a, 0)$ and $a^- = \max(-a, 0)$. Much like other such decompositions, $a = a^+ - a^-$ and $|a| = a^+ + a^-$. Also, for any two integers a and b, there is exactly one integer c such that $a - c^+ = b - c^-$, namely $c = a - b$. This follows easily from the fact that $a - b = (a - b)^+ - (a - b)^-$.

We shall also avail ourselves of the neatness and brevity of multi-index notation. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we set

$$\alpha^+ = (\alpha_1^+, \ldots, \alpha_d^+), \qquad \alpha^- = (\alpha_1^-, \ldots, \alpha_d^-), \qquad \operatorname{abs}(\alpha) = (|\alpha_1|, \ldots, |\alpha_d|),$$

and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$,

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_k, \qquad \alpha! = \prod_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_k!, \qquad \binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha} = \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!}.$$

It will also be advantageous to have a notion of divisibility for integer vectors. We say that $a \mid \alpha$ if $a \mid \alpha_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, d$. We use $\| \bullet \|_p$ to symbolize the p-norm.

Let $[a : b]$ denote the set of integers between a and b, inclusive. Because the precise lettering of an alphabet is immaterial for our purposes, we take $\Sigma_d = [1 : d]$ throughout the rest of this document. The length of a string w is the number of characters in the string and is denoted by $|w|$. The *Parikh vector* of a string $w \in \Sigma_d^*$ is given by $\rho(w) = (\rho_1(w), \ldots, \rho_d(w))$, where $\rho_j(w)$ denotes the multiplicity of j within w. Clearly $|w| = \rho_1(w) + \cdots + \rho_d(w)$. (Rohit Parikh, the namesake of this string signature, first implemented these vectors in his work on context-free languages in [41].)

Lastly, I_d is the $d \times d$ identity matrix, $\mathbf{0}_d$ is the d-dimensional zero vector, and we set $\mathbf{1}_d$ to be the vector in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with each component equal to 1.

3. Fourier Analysis of the Spectral Density Functions of Stabilized Power Sum Symmetric Polynomials

Definition 3.1. The r^{th} *stabilized power sum symmetric polynomial* in d variables is

$$p[x]_{r,d}^*(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = 1 - x p_{r,d}(z_1, \ldots, z_d),$$

where x is an indeterminate.

These polynomials are strongly $\mathbb{D}^d$-stable precisely when $|x| < 1/d$. Corollary 5.7 of [33] indicates that $p[x]_{r,d}^*(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ admits the determinantal representation

$$p[x]_{r,d}^*(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = \det(I_d - x J_d \operatorname{diag}(z_1^r, \ldots, z_d^r)) \tag{3.1}$$

where J_d is the $d \times d$ all-ones matrix. Under the additional assumptions that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we can write

$$\overline{p[x]_{r,d}^*(z_1, \ldots, z_d)} = \det\big(I_d - x J_d \operatorname{diag}(\overline{z_1^r}, \ldots, \overline{z_d^r})\big), \tag{3.2}$$

and so the restriction of the spectral density function $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}$ to $\mathbb{T}^d$ equals

$$\frac{1}{\left|p[x]^*_{r,d}(z_1,\ldots,z_d)\right|^2} = \det\begin{pmatrix} I_d - xJ_d\mathrm{diag}(z_1^r,\ldots,z_d^r) & 0 \\ 0 & I_d - xJ_d\mathrm{diag}(\overline{z_1^r},\ldots,\overline{z_d^r}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \det\left(I_{2d} - x\begin{bmatrix} J_d\mathrm{diag}(z_1^r,\ldots,z_d^r) & 0 \\ 0 & J_d\mathrm{diag}(\overline{z_1^r},\ldots,\overline{z_d^r}) \end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

If we let $A_d(\mathbf{z}) = (a_{i,j})_{2d\times 2d}$ denote the block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_d\mathrm{diag}(z_1^r,\ldots,z_d^r) & 0 \\ 0 & J_d\mathrm{diag}(\overline{z_1^r},\ldots,\overline{z_d^r}) \end{bmatrix},$$

then the MacMahon Master Theorem gives us that

$$\frac{1}{\left|p[x]^*_{r,d}(z_1,\ldots,z_d)\right|^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{\substack{(n_1,\ldots,n_{2d})\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_{2d}=n}} G(n_1,\ldots,n_d)\right]x^n \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$G(n_1,\ldots,n_{2d}) = [y_1^{n_1}\cdots y_{2d}^{n_{2d}}]\prod_{i=1}^{2d}(a_{i,1}y_1+\cdots+a_{i,2d}y_{2d})^{n_i}$$

$$= \binom{n_1+\cdots+n_d}{n_1,\ldots,n_d}\binom{n_{d+1}+\cdots+n_{2d}}{n_{d+1},\ldots,n_{2d}}\prod_{i=1}^{d} z_i^{rn_i}\overline{z_i^{rn_{i+d}}}. \tag{3.5}$$

For a given $\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{T}^d$, series (3.4) converges absolutely for $|x| < 1/\rho(A_d(\mathbf{z}))$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of A.

Now if we were to calculate an individual Fourier coefficient of (3.4), a subset of the $G(n_1,\ldots,n_d)$ terms in the resulting formal power series will be annihilated. In this chapter, we concoct a combinatorial interpretation of those terms in the summation that survive. More specifically, the Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}$ are all OGFs for combinatorial classes of constrained strings.

3.1. **Offset Words.** Let us first forge the combinatorial classes enumerated by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi)$ for $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Definition 3.2. For $(n,\xi)\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{Z}^d$, an n^{th} *order word offset by* ξ is a string in Σ_d^* of length $2n+\|\xi\|_1$ of the form ww' where $w,w'\in\Sigma_d^*$ and $\rho(w)-\rho(w')=\xi$. We denote the set of n^{th} order words offset by ξ by $\mathcal{W}_{(n,\xi)}$, the set of all words offset by ξ (regardless of order) by $\mathcal{W}_\xi$, and set $w_{(n,\xi)} = \left|\mathcal{W}_{(n,\xi)}\right|$.

Intuitively ξ signals how removed a particular concatenation ww' is from producing an abelian square while the order n tells how many characters w and w' have in common. (See Definition 3.5 and Theorem 3.7.) Evidently an n^{th} order word offset by $\mathbf{0}_d$ is an abelian square of length $2n$ since a string ww' is an abelian square if and only if $\rho(w)=\rho(w')$.

Example 3.3. Consider the alphabet $\Sigma_3=\{1,2,3\}$. Let $w=13$ and $w'=12$. Then $ww'=1312$ is a first order word offset by $(0,-1,1)$. The string 1312 is also

- a zeroth order word offset by $(-2,-1,-1)$ with $w=\varepsilon$, the empty string, and $w'=1312$,
- a first order word offset by $(0,-1,-1)$ with $w=1$ and $w'=312$,
- a zeroth order word offset by $(2,-1,1)$ with $w=131$ and $w'=2$,
- a zeroth order word offset by $(2,1,1)$ with $w=1312$ and $w'=\varepsilon$.

Proposition 3.4. *Every string $w\in\Sigma_d^*$ is in $|w|+1$ of the sets $\mathcal{W}_{(n,\xi)}$.*

Proof. Suppose $|w|=N$ and let $k\in[0:N]$. Write w as the concatenation of two strings w_k and w'_{N-k} such that $|w_k|=k$ and $|w'_{N-k}|=N-k$. In setting $\xi_k=\rho(w_k)-\rho(w'_{N-k})$, we note that $N-\|\xi_k\|_1$ is even, because

$$\begin{aligned}N-\|\xi_k\|_1 &= N-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\rho_j(w_k)-\rho_j(w'_{N-k})\right|\\ &\equiv N-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\rho_j(w_k)-\rho_j(w'_{N-k})\right)\pmod 2 = 2\sum_{j=1}^{d}\rho_j(w'_{N-k})\pmod 2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $w\in\mathcal{W}_{(n_k,\xi_k)}$ with $n_k=\frac{1}{2}(N-\|\xi_k\|_1)$. □

Except for the empty string, which is solely an abelian square, every string in Σ_d^* can be labelled as an offset word, but not in a distinct way. This may seem to undermine the utility of Definition 3.2, but offset words are a natural generalization of abelian squares in the following sense: the OGFs for $w_{(n,\xi)}$ are the Fourier coefficients of one, and essentially only one, operator from $\mathbb{R}$ into $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We will demonstrate this later in the chapter.

We will now count the number of n^{th} order words offset by ξ. To elucidate the counting argument, let us first introduce the notion of mutuality.

Definition 3.5. The *mutuality* $\nu(w,w')$ of two strings $w,w'\in\Sigma_d^*$ is the componentwise minimum of $\rho(w)$ and $\rho(w')$,

Lemma 3.6. *For any $w,w'\in\Sigma_d^*$,*

$$\nu(w,w')=\rho(w)-(\rho(w)-\rho(w'))^+=\rho(w')-(\rho(w)-\rho(w')^-. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. The rightmost equality extends the integer decomposition shown in Chapter 2. From the fact that for any two numbers x and y, $\min\{x,y\}=(x+y-|x-y|)/2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(w,w') &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho(w)+\rho(w')-\operatorname{abs}(\rho(w)-\rho(w')))\\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho(w)+\rho(w')-((\rho(w)-\rho(w'))^+ + (\rho(w)-\rho(w'))^-))\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big(\rho(w)-(\rho(w)-\rho(w'))^+\big)+\frac{1}{2}\big(\rho(w')-(\rho(w)-\rho(w')^-\big),\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

So if the concatenation ww' belongs to $\mathcal{W}_\xi$, then $\rho(w)=\nu(w,w')+\xi^+$ and $\rho(w')=\nu(w,w')+\xi^-$. Thus, for each $j\in\Sigma_d^+$, w and w' have, respectively, at least ξ_j^+ and ξ_j^- instances of j.

Lemma 3.7. *If $ww'\in\mathcal{W}_{(n,\xi)}$, then $\nu(w,w')$ is a weak composition of n.*

Proof. Since $|ww'| = 2n + \|\xi\|_1$, it follows that

$$|\nu(w,w')| = \frac{1}{2}|\rho(w) + \rho(w') - \mathrm{abs}(\xi)| = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\rho_j(ww') - |\xi_j|] = n.$$

□

Theorem 3.8.

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = \sum_{\substack{\nu\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\\ |\nu|=n}} \binom{|\nu+\xi^+|}{\nu+\xi^+}\binom{|\nu+\xi^-|}{\nu+\xi^-}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Given a weak composition ν of n into d parts, the number of ways to build two strings w and w' so that $\rho(w) = \nu+\xi^+$ and $\rho(w') = \nu+\xi^-$ is $\binom{|\nu+\xi^+|}{\nu+\xi^+}\binom{|\nu+\xi^-|}{\nu+\xi^-}$. Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 indicate that summing this across all weak compositions of n into d parts gives $w_{(n,\xi)}$. □

3.2. **Fourier Coefficients as Generating Functions.** We now shift our focus to the polynomial $p[x]^*_{1,d}(z_1,\dots,z_d)$ with $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{T}^d$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}\Big|_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ can be presented as a power series in each variable, it converges uniformly on every compact subset of $(-1/d,1/d)\times\mathbb{T}^d$. (Of course, expressing $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}\Big|_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ as a power series in the z_j requires rearrangement of the terms. This is justified since (3.4) holds on all of $(-1/d,1/d)\times\mathbb{T}^d$, thus rendering that series absolutely convergent.)

Let us now compute the Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^{\mathsf{T}}\theta}\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}(e^{i\theta_1},\dots,e^{i\theta_d})\,\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{3.8}$$

Here ξ^{T} is the transpose of ξ, $\theta=(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_d)$, and the integral is relative to the completion of the d-fold product of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. By (3.4), $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(\xi)$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^{\mathsf{T}}\theta}\sum_{\substack{(n_1,\dots,n_{2d})\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_{2d}=n}}\binom{n_1+\cdots+n_d}{n_1,\dots,n_d}\binom{n_{d+1}+\cdots+n_{2d}}{n_{d+1},\dots,n_{2d}}\prod_{i=1}^{d}e^{in_i\theta_i-in_{i+d}\theta_i}\mathrm{d}\theta\right]x^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{\substack{\kappa,\kappa'\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\\ |\kappa|=k,\\ |\kappa'|=n-k}}\binom{|\kappa|}{\kappa}\binom{|\kappa'|}{\kappa'}e^{i(\kappa-\kappa'-\xi)^{\mathsf{T}}\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta\right]x^n. \tag{3.9}$$

where the uniform convergence of $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}$ allows us to interchange the summation and the multiple integral with impunity. Fubini's theorem also grants us treatment of these Fourier coefficients as iterated integrals. So since $\int_0^{2\pi}e^{ia\theta}\,\mathrm{d}\theta$ is 2π when $a=0$ and 0 if $a\in\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$, the only terms in the integrand of (3.9) that do not ultimately vanish are those for which $\kappa-\kappa'-\xi=\mathbf{0}_d$, which implies that

$\kappa - \xi^+ = \kappa' - \xi^-$. Hence, in setting $\nu = \kappa - \xi^+$, we can simplify the power series for $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(\xi)$ to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \\ |\nu| = n}} \binom{|\nu + \xi^+|}{\nu + \xi^+} \binom{|\nu + \xi^-|}{\nu + \xi^-} \right] x^{2n + \|\xi\|_1}. \tag{3.10}$$

Replace x with $\sqrt{x}$ in (3.10) and then normalize to conclude the following.

Theorem 3.9. *The OGF for $w_{(n,\xi)}$, with $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, counted according to the order n, is*

$$W_\xi(x) = \frac{1}{x^{\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|_1}} \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}}}(\xi). \tag{3.11}$$

(The OGF for $w_{(n,\xi)}$, counted according to the length $2n + \|\xi\|_1$, is just $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(\xi)$.)

The Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}$ can be calculated in the same manner by noticing that $p[x]^*_{r,d}(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = p[x]^*_{1,d}(z_1^r, \ldots, z_d^r)$. Hence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^\intercal \theta} \mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}(e^{ir\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{ir\theta_d}) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \\ |\kappa| = k, \\ |\kappa'| = n-k}} \binom{|\kappa|}{\kappa} \binom{|\kappa'|}{\kappa'} e^{i(r\kappa - r\kappa' - \xi)^\intercal \theta} \mathrm{d}\theta \right] x^n \tag{3.12}$$

for $|x| < 1/d$. Like before, the only terms in the integrand of (3.12) that contribute to the sum are those for which $r\kappa - r\kappa' - \xi = \mathbf{0}_d$. So $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi) = 0$ unless $r \mid \xi$, in which case we further require $\kappa - \kappa' - r^{-1}\xi = \mathbf{0}_d$. The ensuing analytic machinery now matches that of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(r^{-1}\xi)$.

Corollary 3.10. *For $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi) = \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}}(r^{-1}\xi) \mathbb{1}_{r \mid \xi}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{r \mid \xi} = 1$ if $r \mid \xi$ and 0 otherwise.

Consequently, the mapping $x \mapsto x^{-\frac{1}{2}\|r^{-1}\xi\|_1} \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi) = W_{r^{-1}\xi}(x)$ is the OGF for $w_{(n,r^{-1}\xi)}$, provided that $r \mid \xi$. For this reason, we will henceforth analyze $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]_{1,d}*}$ exclusively; the combinatorics behind $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{r,d}}}(\xi)$ for $d > 1$ is redundant.

4. Basic Properties of $w_{(n,\xi)}$

The next two chapters are devoted to arithmetic and asymptotic features of the $w_{(n,\xi)}$ numbers themselves. We begin this section by deriving a multiple integral representation of $w_{(n,\xi)}$.

Corollary 4.1. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then*

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^{\intercal}\theta} \left(p_{1,d}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d})\right)^{|\xi^+|} \times \left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{-i\theta_d})\right)^{|\xi^-|} \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d})\right|^{2n} \mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.9,

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = [x^{2n+\|\xi\|_1}]\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{p[x]_{1,d}^*}}(\xi). \tag{4.2}$$

Now work backwards from (3.9). Bearing in mind that the $k = n + |\xi^+|$ term of the sum on the right-hand side of (3.9) is the only one that contributes to the integral, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,\xi)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^{\intercal}\theta} \sum_{\substack{\kappa,\kappa' \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \\ |\kappa| = n+\xi^+, \\ |\kappa'| = n+\xi^-}} \binom{|\kappa|}{\kappa} \binom{|\kappa'|}{\kappa'} \prod_{i=1}^{d} e^{i(\kappa-\kappa'-\xi)^{\intercal}\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{-i\xi^{\intercal}\theta} \left(e^{i\theta_1} + \cdots + e^{i\theta_d}\right)^{n+|\xi^+|} \left(e^{-i\theta_1} + \cdots + e^{-i\theta_d}\right)^{n+|\xi^-|} \mathrm{d}\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (4.1) now follows by virtue of the identity

$$e^{-i\theta_1} + \cdots + e^{-i\theta_d} = \overline{e^{i\theta_1} + \cdots + e^{i\theta_d}}.$$

□

We naturally wish to find a closed-form expression for $w_{(n,\xi)}$. Unfortunately, the sum in (3.7) and the integral in (4.1) look wholly intractable. The summation is over multi-indices which encumbers customary techniques such as the snake oil method and Gosper's algorithm. At the time of writing, $w_{(n,\mathbf{0}_d)}$ alone has defied evaluation for nearly three decades. Richards and Cambanis [14] proposed the problem of calculating what they call $S(n,k) = \sum[\frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_n!}]^2$, where the sum is over all nonnegative integers $k_1, \ldots, k_n$, such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k$. Andrews [3] remarked that the presence of large prime factors in $S(n,k)$ makes obtaining a closed form finite product representation of $S(n,k)$ implausible. As we shall see in Theorem 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Theorem 4.4, these same heuristic barriers seem to persist in enumerating the n^{th} order words offset by ξ. There is, however, a recursive trait attributable to the $w_{(n,\xi)}$ that we can glean.

Theorem 4.2. *The number of n^{th} order words offset by $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies the recurrence*

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n+|\xi^+|}{j+\xi_{s_1}^+ + \cdots + \xi_{s_t}^+} \binom{n+|\xi^-|}{j+\xi_{s_1}^- + \cdots + \xi_{s_t}^-} \times w_{(j,(\xi_{s_1},\ldots,\xi_{s_t}))} w_{(n-j,(\xi_1,\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_1}},\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_t}},\ldots,\xi_d))}, \quad \text{for } d \geq 2 \tag{4.3}$$

where $t \in [1 : d-1]$, $s_1 < \cdots < s_t$ are t natural numbers selected from Σ_d, and $\widehat{\xi_{s_1}}, \ldots, \widehat{\xi_{s_t}}$ means that the indices $\xi_{s_1}, \ldots, \xi_{s_t}$ are deleted from the list $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d$.

Proof. Partition Σ_d into two subsets S and T of t and $d-t$ characters, respectively. Let $s_1 < \cdots < s_t$ be the elements of S. We now count the number of n^{th} order words ww' offset by ξ by conditioning on the value of $\rho_{s_1}(w) + \cdots + \rho_{s_t}(w)$. Recall that w must have at least $\xi^+_{s_k}$ occurrences of s_k for each $s_k \in S$ and at least ξ^+_m occurrences of m for each $m \in T$. So $\rho_{s_1}(w) + \cdots + \rho_{s_t}(w)$ can range from $\xi^+_{s_1} + \cdots + \xi^+_{s_t}$ to $n + |\xi^+| - \sum_{m\in T} \xi^+_m = n + \xi^+_{s_1} + \cdots + \xi^+_{s_t}$, inclusive.

Now for a given $j \in [1 : n]$, assume that $j + \xi^+_{s_1} + \cdots + \xi^+_{s_t}$ of the characters in w originate from S. To preserve the assigned offsetness, $j + \xi^-_{s_1} + \cdots + \xi^-_{s_t}$ of the characters in w' must originate from S as well. Once the spots in ww' designated for S have been selected, they can be filled in $w_{(j,(\xi_{s_1},\ldots,\xi_{s_t}))}$ ways. The remaining spots can be filled in $w_{(n-j,(\xi_1,\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_1}},\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_t}},\ldots,\xi_d))}$ ways. Summing $\binom{n+|\xi^+|}{j+\xi^+_{s_1}+\cdots+\xi^+_{s_t}}\binom{n+|\xi^-|}{j+\xi^-_{s_1}+\cdots+\xi^-_{s_t}} w_{(j,(\xi_{s_1},\ldots,\xi_{s_t}))} w_{(n-j,(\xi_1,\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_1}},\ldots,\widehat{\xi_{s_t}},\ldots,\xi_d))}$ over j completes the argument. □

We now prove a divisibility property of $w_{(n,\xi)}$ that generalizes a fact about $w_{(n,\mathbf{0}_d)}$ conjectured by Andrews and proven by Kolitsch in [3].

Lemma 4.3.

$$w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \qquad \textit{for any integers } n \textit{ and } m \textit{ not both } 0.$$

Proof. Let the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_d$ act on the set C of weak compositions of n into d parts by cyclically permuting the parts of a composition. Pick a representative $\pi^{\mathcal{O}}$ from each orbit $\mathcal{O} \in C/\mathbb{Z}_d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} &= \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in C/\mathbb{Z}_d} |\mathcal{O}| \binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + (m\mathbf{1}_d)^+|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + (m\mathbf{1}_d)^+} \binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + (m\mathbf{1}_d)^-|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + (m\mathbf{1}_d)^-} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in C/\mathbb{Z}_d} |\mathcal{O}| \binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}}|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}} \binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + |m|\mathbf{1}_d|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + |m|\mathbf{1}_d} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

since one of m^+ and m^- is 0 while the other is $|m|$.

Now let $\mathcal{O} \in C/\mathbb{Z}_d$ be arbitrary. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, together with Lagrange's theorem, the size of the orbits divide d, and so

$$\pi^{\mathcal{O}} = (\underbrace{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1, \ldots, \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|}}_{\text{written } d/|\mathcal{O}| \text{ times}}).$$

Since $\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1 + |m|, \ldots, \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|} + |m|$ are not all 0, their greatest common divisor is nonzero. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + m\mathbf{1}_d|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}} + m\mathbf{1}_d} &= \binom{(d/|\mathcal{O}|)(\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1 + \cdots + \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|} + |\mathcal{O}||m|)}{\underbrace{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1 + |m|, \ldots, \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|} + |m|}_{\text{written } d/|\mathcal{O}| \text{ times}}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{(d/|\mathcal{O}|)(\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1 + \cdots + \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|} + |\mathcal{O}||m|)}{\gcd(\pi^{\mathcal{O}}_1 + |m|, \ldots, \pi^{\mathcal{O}}_{|\mathcal{O}|} + |m|)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

in which we have applied Theorem 1 in [31]. Yet $\gcd(\pi_1^{\mathcal{O}}+|m|,\dots,\pi_{|\mathcal{O}|}^{\mathcal{O}}+|m|)$ divides $\pi_1^{\mathcal{O}}+\cdots+\pi_{|\mathcal{O}|}^{\mathcal{O}}+|\mathcal{O}||m|$ so that

$$\frac{(d/|\mathcal{O}|)(\pi_1^{\mathcal{O}}+\cdots+\pi_{|\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{O}}+|\mathcal{O}||m|)}{\gcd(\pi_1^{\mathcal{O}}+|m|,\cdots,\pi_{|\mathcal{O}|}^{\mathcal{O}}+|m|)}\equiv 0 \pmod{d/|\mathcal{O}|}. \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore

$$\binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}}+m\mathbf{1}_d|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}+m\mathbf{1}_d}\equiv 0 \pmod{d/|\mathcal{O}|}, \tag{4.7}$$

and so

$$|\mathcal{O}|\binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}}|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}}\binom{|\pi^{\mathcal{O}}+m\mathbf{1}_d|}{\pi^{\mathcal{O}}+m\mathbf{1}_d}\equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \tag{4.8}$$

which shows that $w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)}\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let $d\geq 2$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^d-\{\mathbf{0}\}$, and for each $a\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $o_a(\xi)$ be the number of occurrences of a in ξ. Then*

$$w_{(n,\xi)}\equiv 0 \pmod{\operatorname{lcm}(1,2,\dots,\max_{a\neq 0} o_a(\xi))}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Note that $\max_{a\neq 0} o_a(\xi)\geq 1$. By letting a_0 be a nonzero integer with the maximal number of occurrences in ξ, we can apply Theorem 4.2 to get

$$w_{(n,\xi)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+|\xi^+|}{j+ta_0^+}\binom{n+|\xi^-|}{j+ta_0^-}w_{(j,a_0\mathbf{1}_t)}w_{(n-j,(\xi_1,\dots,\widehat{a_0\mathbf{1}_t},\dots,\xi_d))} \tag{4.10}$$

for each $t\in[1:\max_{a\neq 0} o_a(\xi)]$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that every summand is divisible by t. □

5. Asymptotics

In this chapter, we extract the asymptotic behavior of $w_{(n,\xi)}$, first as $n\to\infty$ with ξ fixed, then as $\|\xi\|_1\to\infty$ in a fixed direction in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with n fixed, and then as the dimension $d\to\infty$ with n fixed and the components of ξ fixed and all the same.

5.1. Coefficient Asymptotics of W_ξ.

Below is the main asymptotic result of this manuscript.

Theorem 5.1. *If $\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, then*

$$w_{(n,\xi)}\sim d^{2n+d/2+\|\xi\|_1}(4\pi n)^{(1-d)/2} \tag{5.1}$$

as $n\to\infty$.

We shall derive the coefficient asymptotics of W_ξ by applying Laplace's method on (3.7). The following version of Laplace's method for sums over lattice point translations, which is explained in [32], is effective for approximating sums over multi-indices.

Theorem 5.2 (Greenhill, Janson, Ruciński)**.** *Suppose the following:*

(i) $\mathcal{L}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is a lattice with rank $r\leq N$.

(ii) $V\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is the r-dimensional subspace spanned by $\mathcal{L}$.

(iii) $W = V + w$ *is an affine subspace parallel to* V *for some* $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(iv) $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *is a compact convex set with nonempty interior* K°.

(v) $\phi : K \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a continuous function and the restriction of* ϕ *to* $K \cap W$ *has a unique maximum at some point* $x_0 \in K^\circ \cap W$.

(vi) ϕ *is twice continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of* x_0 *and* $H_\phi(x_0)$ *is its Hessian at* x_0.

(vii) $\psi : K_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a continuous function on some neighborhood* $K_1 \subset K$ *of* x_0 *with* $\psi(x_0) > 0$.

(viii) *For each positive integer* n *there is a vector* $\ell_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *with* $\ell_n/n \in W$.

(ix) *For each positive integer* n *there is a positive real number* b_n *and a function* $a_n : (\mathcal{L} + \ell_n) \cap nK \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that, as* $n \to \infty$,

$$a_n(\ell) = O\Big(b_n e^{n\phi(\ell/n)+o(n)}\Big), \qquad \ell \in (\mathcal{L} + \ell_n) \cap nK,$$

and

$$a_n(\ell) = b_n(\psi(\ell/n) + o(1))\, e^{n\phi(\ell/n)}, \qquad \ell \in (\mathcal{L} + \ell_n) \cap nK_1,$$

uniformly for ℓ *in the indicated sets.*

Then, regarding $-H_\phi$ *as a bilinear form on* V *and provided* $\det(-H_\phi|_V) \neq 0$, *as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{\ell \in (\mathcal{L}+\ell_n)\cap nK} a_n(\ell) \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{r/2}\psi(x_0)}{\det(\mathcal{L})\sqrt{\det\left(-H_\phi|_V(x_0)\right)}} b_n n^{r/2} e^{n\phi(x_0)},$$

where $\det(\mathcal{L})$ *is the square root of the discriminant of* $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The asymptotic trivially holds for $d = 1$ since $w_{(n,\xi)} = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we let $d \geq 2$. To start, write

$$(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d) = (n_1 - n, n_2, \ldots, n_d) + n(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

so that if $(n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n_1 + \cdots + n_d = n$, then $(n_1 - n, n_2, \ldots, n_d)$ is an integer vector whose components sum to 0. This suggests that we let $\mathcal{L}$ be the root lattice $A_{d-1} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_d = 0\}$, V be the real linear span of A_{d-1}, $w = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $W = V + w$, and $\ell_n = nw$. Letting K be the unit hypercube $[0, 1]^d$, we have

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = (n + |\xi^+|)!(n + |\xi^-|)! \sum_{\ell \in (A_{d-1}+nw)\cap nK} a_n(\ell) \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$a_n(\ell) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\ell_j!(\ell_j + |\xi_j|)!}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $x_j = \ell_j/n$ for all $j \in [1:d]$. Applying Stirling's approximation in the form

$$\log(n!) = n\log n - n + \frac{1}{2}\log(\max\{n,1\}) + \frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi + O\Big(\frac{1}{n+1}\Big), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0 \tag{5.4}$$

we obtain, uniformly for $\ell \in (A_{d-1} + nw) \cap nK$ with n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(a_n(\ell)) &= -\sum_{j=1}^{d} (\log(\ell_j!) + \log((\ell_j + |\xi_j|)!)) \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^{d} ((2\ell_j + |\xi_j| + 1)\log n - (2\ell_j + |\xi_j|) + \log 2\pi) \\
&\quad - n\sum_{j=1}^{d} (x_j \log x_j + (x_j + |\xi_j|/n)\log(x_j + |\xi_j|/n)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} (\log(\max\{x_j, 1/n\}) + \log(\max\{x_j + |\xi_j|/n, 1/n\})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Big(O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j+1}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j + |\xi_j| + 1}\Big)\Big) \\
&= -(2n + \|\xi\|_1 + d)\log n + 2n + \|\xi\|_1 - d\log 2\pi \\
&\quad - n\sum_{j,\, x_j \neq 0} \big(x_j \log x_j + (x_j + |\xi_j|/n)(\log x_j + |\xi_j|/\ell_j - O(\xi_j^2/\ell_j^2))\big) \\
&\quad - n\sum_{j,\, x_j = 0} (x_j \log x_j + (|\xi_j|/n)\log(|\xi_j|/n)) - \sum_{j,\, x_j = \xi_j = 0} \log(1/n) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\, x_j = 0, \xi_j \neq 0} (2\log(1/n) + \log|\xi_j|) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\, x_j \neq 0} (2\log x_j + O(|\xi_j|/\ell_j)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Big(O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j+1}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j + |\xi_j| + 1}\Big)\Big) \\
&= -(2n + \|\xi\|_1 + d)\log n + 2n - d\log 2\pi \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,\, x_j = 0} \Big(|\xi_j| - |\xi_j|\log|\xi_j| - \frac{1}{2}(\log|\xi_j|)\mathbb{1}_{\xi_j \neq 0}\Big) - n\sum_{j=1}^{d} 2x_j \log x_j \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{d} (\log(\max\{x_j, 1/n\}) + |\xi_j|\log(\max\{x_j, 1/n\})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Big(O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j+1}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j + |\xi_j| + 1}\Big)\Big) + \sum_{j,\, x_j \neq 0} \Big(O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{1}{\ell_j^2}\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\xi_j \neq 0} = 1$ if $\xi_j \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise. We can therefore write

$$a_n(\ell) = b_n \psi(\ell/n) e^{n\phi(\ell/n) + \sum_{j,\, x_j = 0} \left(|\xi_j| - \left(|\xi_j| + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{\xi_j \neq 0}\right)\log|\xi_j|\right)} \Big(1 + O\Big(\frac{1}{\min_j \ell_j + 1}\Big)\Big),$$

where, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$b_n = \frac{e^{2n}}{(2\pi)^d n^{2n+\|\xi\|_1+d}}, \qquad \psi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{x_j^{|\xi_j|+1}}, \qquad \phi(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} 2x_j \log x_j,$$

unless if some x_j is 0, in which case we replace it with $1/n$ in the formula for ψ. This means that

$$a_n(\ell) = O\left(b_n e^{n\phi(\ell/n)+O(1)} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(1/n)^{|\xi_j|+1}}\right) = O\Big(b_n e^{n\phi(\ell/n)+O(\log n)}\Big)$$

for $\ell \in (A_{d-1} + nw) \cap nK$. On K°,

$$\sum_{j,\, x_j=0} \left(|\xi_j| - \left(|\xi_j| + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_{\xi_j \neq 0}\right) \log |\xi_j|\right)$$

is an empty sum. Lastly, ψ is continuous and positive on K°. All that remains is to prove that $\phi|_{K\cap W}$ has a unique maximum at some point located inside $K^\circ \cap W$ and that there is a neighborhood $K_1 \subset K$ of that point that will satisfy conditions (vii) and (ix) of Theorem 5.2.

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\phi(x) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j \log\left(\frac{1}{x_j}\right) \leq 2\log d, \tag{5.5}$$

for all $x \in K \cap W = \{x \in K : x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 1\}$. Since equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_d$, we see that $\phi|_{K\cap W}$ attains its maximum value of $2\log d$ at the point $x_0 = (1/d)\mathbf{1}_d$ only. Finally, the Hessian $H_\phi(x)$ is diagonal with entries $-2/x_j$. Hence $H_\phi(x_0) = -2dI_d$.

Now set $K_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2d}, \frac{3}{2d}\right)^d$. As a continuous function, ψ is bounded on the compact set $\left[\frac{1}{2d}, \frac{3}{2d}\right]^d$. Furthermore, if $\ell \in (A_{d-1} + nw) \cap nK_1$, then

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\min_j \ell_j + 1} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2d} + 1} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence $a_n(\ell) = b_n(\psi(\ell/n) + o(1))e^{n\phi(\ell/n)}$ for $\ell \in (A_{d-1} + nw) \cap nK_1$. Every assumption of Theorem 5.2 has now been verified.

It is well known that A_{d-1} has rank $d-1$ and discriminant d, so we are left to calculate $\det\left(-H_\phi|_V(x_0)\right)$. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_k\}_{k=1}^{d-1}$ be a basis for V. Then

$$\det\left(-H_\phi|_V(x_0)\right) = \frac{\det([\mathbf{e}_i^\mathsf{T}(2dI_d)\mathbf{e}_j]_{i,j=1}^{d-1})}{\det([\mathbf{e}_i^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{e}_j]_{i,j=1}^{d-1})} = (2d)^{d-1}. \tag{5.6}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell \in (A_{d-1}+nw)\cap nK} a_n(\ell) &\sim \frac{(2\pi)^{(d-1)/2} d^{d+\|\xi\|_1}}{d^{1/2}(2d)^{(d-1)/2}} \frac{e^{2n}}{(2\pi)^d n^{2n+\|\xi\|_1+d}} n^{(d-1)/2} e^{2n\log d} \\ &= \frac{d^{2n+d/2+\|\xi\|_1} e^{2n}}{2^d \pi^{(d+1)/2} n^{2n+\|\xi\|_1+(d+1)/2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Using (5.7) and Stirling's formula on (5.2) yields

$$w_{(n,\xi)} \sim \sqrt{2\pi(n+|\xi^+|)}\left(\frac{n+|\xi^+|}{e}\right)^{n+|\xi^+|}\sqrt{2\pi(n+|\xi^-|)}\left(\frac{n+|\xi^-|}{e}\right)^{n+|\xi^-|} \times \frac{d^{2n+d/2+||\xi||_1}e^{2n}}{2^d\pi^{(d+1)/2}n^{2n+||\xi||_1+(d+1)/2}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Simplifying (5.8) via the asymptotic expressions $\sqrt{n+|\xi^+|} \sim \sqrt{n+|\xi^-|} \sim \sqrt{n}$, $(n+|\xi^+|)^{n+|\xi^+|} \sim n^{n+|\xi^+|}e^{|\xi^+|}$, and $(n+|\xi^-|)^{n+|\xi^-|} \sim n^{n+|\xi^-|}e^{|\xi^-|}$ begets (5.1). □

5.2. **Stationary Phase Approximation of $w_{(n,\xi)}$.** We now derive the following leading order estimate of $w_{(n,\xi)}$ as $\|\xi\|_1 \to \infty$ in a fixed direction in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with n fixed.

Theorem 5.3. *If $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d - \{\mathbf{0}_d\}$, then*

$$w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{1-3d/2}}{\sqrt{\|\xi\|_1(d+1)}}d^{\lambda\|\xi\|_1+2n+d/2+1}\lambda^{-d/2}(1+o(1)) \tag{5.9}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$ with n fixed.

To prove this, we will employ the integral representation

$$w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} e^{-i\lambda\xi^{\mathsf{T}}\theta}\left(p_{1,d}(e^{i\theta_1},\ldots,e^{i\theta_d})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|} \times \left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i\theta_1},\ldots,e^{-i\theta_d})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|}\left|p_{1,d}(e^{i\theta_1},\ldots,e^{i\theta_d})\right|^{2n}\,\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{5.10}$$

as the positive real parameter λ tends to ∞. Such an oscillatory integral is mostly amenable to the following variation of stationary phase method [43, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 5.4 (Pemantle, Wilson)**.** *Let A and φ be complex-valued analytic functions on a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and suppose that the real part of φ is nonnegative, vanishing only at the origin. Suppose that the Hessian matrix H_φ of φ at the origin is nonsingular. Denoting $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathcal{N}} A(\mathbf{x})e^{-\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$, there is an asymptotic expansion*

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) \sim \sum_{\ell\geq 0} c_\ell \lambda^{-d/2-\ell}$$

where

$$c_0 = A(\mathbf{0}_d)\frac{(2\pi)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}$$

and the choice of sign of the square root is defined by taking the product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues of $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$.

We say "mostly" because we actually need the following slight modification of Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be a complex-valued continuous function on a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let φ be a complex-valued analytic function satisfying the conditions given in Theorem 5.4. Denoting $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathcal{N}} A(\mathbf{x})e^{-\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = A(\mathbf{0}_d)\frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}(1+o(1))$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$. The choice of sign of the square root is defined by taking the product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues of $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$.

Proof. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ that converges uniformly to A over $\mathcal{N}$. (Technically, it is the complex unital *-algebra generated by $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ that is dense in $C(\mathcal{N},\mathbb{C})$, the algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on $\mathcal{N}$, endowed with the infinity norm. This *-algebra, however, is $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ itself since the variables $x_1,\dots,x_d$ are real, and so the set of complex-coefficient polynomials on $\mathcal{N}$ is closed under complex conjugation.) Now for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda) := \int_{\mathcal{N}} P_n(\mathbf{x})e^{-\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

Then for every $\lambda\in[0,\infty)$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda)-\mathcal{I}(\lambda)| \le \int_{\mathcal{N}} \left|(P_n(\mathbf{x})-A(\mathbf{x}))e^{-\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})}\right|\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{N}}|P_n(\mathbf{x})-A(\mathbf{x})|\int_{\mathcal{N}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\to 0$$

as $n\to\infty$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{I}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to $\mathcal{I}$. Since each P_n is analytic, Theorem 5.4 tells us that

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda) = P_n(\mathbf{0}_d)\frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}(1+o(1))$$

as $\lambda\to\infty$. If $A(\mathbf{0}_d)\neq 0$, then we can use the Moore-Osgood Theorem [46, Theorem 7.11] to conclude that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{\mathcal{I}(\lambda)}{\frac{A(\mathbf{0}_d)(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}} = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda)}{\frac{P_n(\mathbf{0}_d)(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda)}{\frac{P_n(\mathbf{0}_d)(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d))}}} = 1.$$

If $A(\mathbf{0}_d)=0$, then the cruder limit

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{I}_n(\lambda) = 0$$

is adequate. □

Proof of Theorem 5.3. First we invoke the change of variables given by the map $\mathbf{f}_d:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ with components

$$\theta_j = -\tau_{j-1}+\tau_j+\delta, \quad \text{for } 1\le j\le d$$

where we have introduced the placeholders $\tau_0\equiv\tau_d\equiv 0$ in order to avoid messy casework later. (One may envision this as a transformation from the Cartesian coordinate system to a sort-of "signed distance from A_{d-1}" coordinate system where, given a point $\theta_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $-\delta$ gives the value of t for which the hyperplane $\theta_1+\cdots+\theta_d=0$ intersects with the normal line $\ell(t)=\theta_0+t\mathbf{1}_d$ and $(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{d-1})$ are the coordinates of this intersection point relative to the standard basis of A_{d-1}.) The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}_d$ is

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_d}(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{d-1},\delta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial\theta_1}{\partial\tau_1} & \frac{\partial\theta_1}{\partial\tau_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\theta_1}{\partial\tau_{d-1}} & \frac{\partial\theta_1}{\partial\delta} \\ \frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\tau_1} & \frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\tau_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\tau_{d-1}} & \frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\delta} \\ \frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\tau_1} & \frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\tau_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\tau_{d-1}} & \frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\delta} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial\theta_d}{\partial\tau_1} & \frac{\partial\theta_d}{\partial\tau_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\theta_d}{\partial\tau_{d-1}} & \frac{\partial\theta_d}{\partial\delta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

a $d \times d$ matrix with 1s on the main diagonal, 1s in the last column, -1s on the subdiagonal, and 0s elsewhere.

We use induction to show that the Jacobian determinant is equal to d. For the base case $d = 1$, $\mathbf{f}_1(\theta_1) = \delta$ which trivially has a Jacobian determinant of 1. Now assume the induction hypothesis holds for some integer k, that is, $\det(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_k}) = k$. Laplace expansion along the first row of $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_{k+1}}$ yields

$$\det(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_{k+1}}) = \det(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_k}) + (-1)^{k+2} \det(J_{-1,k})$$

where $J_{-1,k}$ is the $k \times k$ Jordan block with eigenvalue -1. We thus have

$$\det(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}_{k+1}}) \underbrace{=}_{\text{ind. hyp.}} k + (-1)^{k+2}(-1)^k = k + 1.$$

Since the Jacobian determinant is a nonzero constant, $\mathbf{f}_d$ is invertible and $\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}$ is differentiable. The transformation produces the following integral representation:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}([-\pi,\pi]^d)} & e^{-i\lambda\xi^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\theta)} \left(p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|} \\ & \times \left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)}, \ldots, e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|} \\ & \times \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta)})\right|^{2n} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\tau_{d-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Note $e^{i\delta}$ and $e^{-i\delta}$ can be factored out of $\left(p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|}$ and $\left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)}, \ldots, e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|}$, respectively, to get

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}([-\pi,\pi]^d)} & e^{-i\lambda\xi^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\theta)} e^{i\delta\lambda|\xi^+|} \left(p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|} \\ & \times e^{-i\delta\lambda|\xi^-|} \left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|} \\ & \times \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{2n} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\tau_{d-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Yet

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\lambda\xi^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\theta)} &= e^{-i\lambda(\xi_1(-\tau_0+\tau_1+\delta)+\cdots+\xi_d(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d+\delta))} \\ &= e^{-i\lambda(\xi_1(-\tau_0+\tau_1)+\cdots+\xi_d(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d))} e^{-i\lambda\delta(\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_d)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{i\delta\lambda|\xi^+|} e^{-i\delta\lambda|\xi^-|} = e^{i\delta\lambda(\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_d)}$$

so that every instance of δ in the integrand cancels out, thus yielding

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}([-\pi,\pi]^d)} & e^{-i\lambda(\xi_1(-\tau_0+\tau_1)+\cdots+\xi_d(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d))} \\ & \times \left(p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|} \left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|} \\ & \times \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}, \ldots, e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{2n} \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\tau_{d-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate with respect to δ first to get

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} &= \frac{d}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{N}} (2\pi + m(\tau) - M(\tau))e^{-i\lambda(\xi_1(-\tau_0+\tau_1)+\cdots+\xi_d(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d))} \\ &\quad \times \left(p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^+|}\left(p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right)^{\lambda|\xi^-|} \\ &\quad \times \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{2n} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \frac{d}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{N}} A(\tau)e^{-\lambda\varphi(\tau)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where $\tau = (\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{d-1})$, $d\tau = d\tau_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\tau_{d-1}$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_{d-1})\cap[-\pi,\pi]^d)$,

$$m(\tau) = \min\{-\tau_0+\tau_1,\dots,-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d\},\quad M(\tau) = \max\{-\tau_0+\tau_1,\dots,-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d\},$$

$$A(\tau) = (2\pi + m(\tau) - M(\tau))\left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{2n},$$

$$\varphi(\tau) = -|\xi^+|\log(E(\tau)) - |\xi^-|\log(\overline{E(\tau)}) + i(\xi_1(-\tau_0+\tau_1)+\cdots+\xi_d(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)),$$

and

$$E(\tau) = p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})$$

where the principal branch of the logarithm is taken.

By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right| &\le p_{1,d}(|e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)}|,\dots,|e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)}|) \\ &= p_{1,d}(1,\dots,1) = d, \end{aligned}$$

and equality occurs if and only if

$$e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)} = \cdots = e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)}.$$

Referring back to our change of variables, this means that all of the $e^{i\theta_j}$ need to equal each other in order for E to attain its maximum value of d. But since our region of integration in (5.11) demands that we have $\theta\in\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_{d-1})\cap[-\pi,\pi]^d$, if any θ_j equals $\pm\pi$, then there must be a different θ_k equalling $-\theta_j$. In this case $(2\pi - m(\tau) - M(\tau)) = 0$, and so the boundary points contribute nothing to $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$. Hence the only stationary point of E in $\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_{d-1})\cap[-\pi,\pi]^d)$ that contributes to the integral is $\mathbf{f}_d^{-1}(\mathbf{0}_d)$, in which case we have

$$-\tau_0+\tau_1 = \cdots = -\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d = 0$$

so that each $\tau_j = 0$. The same is true for $p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{-i(-\tau_d+i\tau_{d-1})}) = \overline{p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_d+\tau_{d-1})})}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\varphi(\tau) &= |\xi^+|\log\left|p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{-1} \\ &\quad + |\xi^-|\log\left|p_{1,d}(e^{-i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{-i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\right|^{-1} \\ &\ge |\xi^+|\log d^{-1} + |\xi^-|\log d^{-1} = -\|\xi\|_1\log d \end{aligned}$$

for every $\tau\in\mathcal{N}$ for which $p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)})\neq 0$ with equality occurring precisely when $\tau = \mathbf{0}_d$. Also, since plugging in $\tau = \mathbf{0}_d$ gives $p_{1,d}(1,\dots,1)\neq 0$, the phase function φ is analytic on every compact neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}_d$ contained in

$\mathcal{N}$ for which $p_{1,d}(e^{i(-\tau_0+\tau_1)},\dots,e^{i(-\tau_{d-1}+\tau_d)}) \neq 0$. (Two things are worth pointing out here. First, $\mathcal{N}$, as the inverse image of a compact set under a continuous map, is itself compact, and since $p_{1,d}$ is continuous, such nonempty compact neighborhoods exist. Second, the set of points for which $p_{1,d}$ equal 0 is contained in the zero set of $A(\tau)$.) Provided that $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$ is invertible, we can apply Corollary 5.5 to

$$w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{d^{\lambda\|\xi\|_1+1}}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{\mathcal{N}} A(\tau) e^{-\lambda(\varphi(\tau)+\|\xi\|_1 \log d)}\, \mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{5.12}$$

All that remains is to calculate H_φ. We find that, for $1 \le j \le d-1$,

$$\varphi_{\tau_j} = \frac{-|\xi^+|(ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)})}{E(\tau)} - \frac{|\xi^-|(ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j-1}-\tau_j)})}{\overline{E(\tau)}} + i(\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}),$$

and so for all j,k with $1 \le j \le k \le d-1$ and $k-j>1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\tau_j\tau_j} &= -|\xi^+| \frac{(-e^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})} - e^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)})E(\tau) - (ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)})^2}{[E(\tau)]^2} \\
&\quad - |\xi^-| \frac{(-e^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})} - e^{i(\tau_{j-1}-\tau_j)})\overline{E(\tau)} - (ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j-1}-\tau_j)})^2}{\left[\overline{E(\tau)}\right]^2} \\
\varphi_{\tau_{j+1}\tau_j} &= -|\xi^+| \frac{e^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)}E(\tau) - (ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)})(ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)} - ie^{i(\tau_{j+2}-\tau_{j+1})})}{[E(\tau)]^2} \\
&\quad - |\xi^-| \frac{e^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})}\overline{E(\tau)} - (ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j-1}-\tau_j)})(ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_{j+2})} - ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})})}{\left[\overline{E(\tau)}\right]^2} \\
\varphi_{\tau_k\tau_j} &= |\xi^+| \frac{(ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j)})(ie^{i(\tau_k-\tau_{k-1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{k+1}-\tau_k)})}{[E(\tau)]^2} \\
&\quad + |\xi^-| \frac{(ie^{i(\tau_j-\tau_{j+1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{j-1}-\tau_j)})(ie^{i(\tau_k-\tau_{k+1})} - ie^{i(\tau_{k-1}-\tau_k)})}{\left[\overline{E(\tau)}\right]^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining mixed partial derivatives follow from Clairaut's theorem. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\tau_j\tau_j}(\mathbf{0}_d) &= -|\xi^+|\frac{-2d}{d^2} - |\xi^-|\frac{-2d}{d^2} = \frac{2\|\xi\|_1}{d} \\
\varphi_{\tau_j\tau_{j+1}}(\mathbf{0}_d) &= \varphi_{\tau_{j+1}\tau_j}(\mathbf{0}_d) = -|\xi^+|\frac{d}{d^2} - |\xi^-|\frac{d}{d^2} = -\frac{\|\xi\|_1}{d} \\
\varphi_{\tau_j\tau_k}(\mathbf{0}_d) &= \varphi_{\tau_k\tau_j}(\mathbf{0}_d) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d) = -\frac{\|\xi\|_1}{d}\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a $d \times d$ symmetric tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix. Using a formula from [35]

$$\begin{aligned}\det(H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)) &= \left(-\frac{\|\xi\|_1}{d}\right)^d \lim_{D\to -2^-} \frac{(-1)^d \sinh\big((d+1)\cosh^{-1}(-D/2)\big)}{\sinh\big(\cosh^{-1}(-D/2)\big)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\|\xi\|_1}{d}\right)^d (d+1)\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1 of [36], the eigenvalues of $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$ are

$$-\frac{\|\xi\|_1}{d}\left(-2-2\cos\frac{k\pi}{d+1}\right) = \frac{4\|\xi\|_1}{d}\cos^2\left(\frac{k\pi}{2(d+1)}\right), \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, d,$$

and so the product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues of $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$ is a nonnegative real number. We thus take the positive square root of $H_\varphi(\mathbf{0}_d)$ and apply Corollary 5.5 to get that

$$w_{(n,\lambda\xi)} = \frac{d^{\lambda\|\xi\|_1+1}}{(2\pi)^d} \cdot 2\pi d^{2n} \frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{(\|\xi\|_1/d)^d(d+1)}}(1+o(1)) \tag{5.13}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$. □

5.3. **The Association Between $w_{(n,\xi)}$ and Modified Bessel Functions of the First Kind.** The cardinalities $w_{(n,\xi)}$ can be linked to products of modified Bessel functions of the first kind, which are functions given by the power series

$$I_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu}, \quad \text{for } \nu \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \tag{5.14}$$

where Γ is the eponymous gamma function. It is customary to keep to the principal branch of $(z/2)^\nu$ so that I_ν is analytic on $\mathbb{C} - (-\infty, 0]$ and two-valued and discontinuous on the cut $\operatorname{Arg} z = \pm\pi$ (if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$). To facilitate the asymptotic analysis of $w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)}$, we will instead work with the normalized Bessel function

$$\tilde{I}_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}, \quad \text{for } \nu \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \tag{5.15}$$

which is entire on $\mathbb{C}$. Next, observe that

$$w_{(n,\xi)} = (n+|\xi^+|)!(n+|\xi^-|)![x^n]\prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\tilde{I}_{|\xi_j|}(2\sqrt{x})}{|\xi_j|!}\right). \tag{5.16}$$

In particular,

$$w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} = \frac{n!(n+d|m|)!}{(|m|!)^d}[x^n]\left(\tilde{I}_{|m|}(2\sqrt{x})\right)^d. \tag{5.17}$$

Bender, Brody, and Meister [5] proved that

$$\left(\tilde{I}_\nu(z)\right)^d = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\nu+1)} B_n^{(\nu)}(d)\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}, \tag{5.18}$$

where $B_n^{(\nu)}(d)$ is a polynomial defined recursively by

$$B_n^{(\nu)}(d) = d\frac{\nu+n}{\nu+1}B_{n-1}^{(\nu)}(d) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{b_j(\nu)}{n}\frac{\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\Gamma(j+\nu+2)}\binom{\nu+n}{j}B_{n-1}^{(\nu)}(d). \tag{5.19}$$

with initial condition $b_0^{(\nu)}(d) = 1$. The sequence $\{b_n(\nu)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is identified by the generating function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(\nu)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(n+\nu+1)} x^n = \frac{x}{\Gamma(\nu+2)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\nu+1} \frac{I_\nu(2\sqrt{x})}{I_{\nu+1}(2\sqrt{x})} - 2 \right). \tag{5.20}$$

However, Moll and Vignat [39] found an alternative characterization of $B_n^{(\nu)}(d)$ in terms of the exponent d. To start, they exploit the Hadamard factorization

$$\tilde{I}_\nu(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{j_{\nu,k}^2} \right), \tag{5.21}$$

where $\{j_{\nu,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an enumeration of the zeros of $\tilde{I}_\nu(iz)$, from which it follows that

$$\log \tilde{I}_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \zeta_n(2n) z^{2n}, \tag{5.22}$$

where ζ_ν is the Bessel zeta function

$$\zeta_\nu(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_{\nu,k}^p}, \quad \text{for } p > 1. \tag{5.23}$$

They then cite the fact that the exponential of a power series is computed via

$$\exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \tag{5.24}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is the n^{th} complete Bell polynomial. (Read [45], section 5.2, for details.) Multiplying (5.22) by d and then plugging it into (5.24) leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6 (Moll, Vignat)**.** *Define*

$$a_n = a_n^{(\nu)}(d) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!\zeta_\nu(2n)d. \tag{5.25}$$

Then $B_n^{(\nu)}(d)$ *is given by*

$$B_n^{(\nu)}(d) = 4^n \frac{\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \mathbf{B}_n(a_1^{(\nu)}(d), \ldots, a_n^{(\nu)}(d)). \tag{5.26}$$

Substitute (5.26) into (5.18) to get

$$w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} = \frac{4^n(n+d|m|)!}{(|m|!)^d} \mathbf{B}_n(a_1^{|m|}(d), \ldots, a_n^{|m|}(d)). \tag{5.27}$$

We are now poised to derive the following asymptotic formula.

Theorem 5.7.

$$w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}(|m|d)^{|m|d+1/2}}{(|m|!e^{|m|})^d} \left(\frac{|m|d^2}{|m|+1} \right)^n + O(d^{|m|d+2n-1/2}) \tag{5.28}$$

as $d \to \infty$ *with* n *and* $m \neq 0$ *fixed. If* $m = 0$*, then*

$$w_{(n,\mathbf{0}_d)} = n!d^n + O(d^{n-1}). \tag{5.29}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{B}_n(a_1^{|m|}(d), \ldots, a_n^{|m|}(d))$ is a polynomial in d, it suffices to find its degree and leading coefficient. This is achieved by invoking the Bell polynomial's determinantal representation

(5.30)
$$\mathbf{B}_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_3 & \binom{2}{1}a_2 & a_1 & -1 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & \binom{n-2}{1}a_{n-2} & \binom{n-2}{2}a_{n-3} & \binom{n-2}{3}a_{n-4} & \ddots & -1 \\ a_n & \binom{n-1}{1}a_{n-1} & \binom{n-1}{2}a_{n-2} & \binom{n-1}{3}a_{n-3} & \cdots & a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(This determinantal representation is explained in [16], albeit with a typographical error.) Each a_i includes exactly one factor of d, and so, because the above matrix is lower triangular save for the superdiagonal comprised entirely of -1s, $\mathbf{B}_n(a_1^{|m|}(d), \ldots, a_n^{|m|}(d))$ is an n^{th} degree polynomial in d with leading coefficient given by the product of the diagonal entries of (5.30), which is

$$\left(a_1^{|m|}(d)\right)^n = (d\zeta_{|m|}(2))^n = \left(\frac{d}{4(|m|+1)}\right)^n. \tag{5.31}$$

(Here we have used the fact that $\zeta_\nu(2) = \frac{1}{4(\nu+1)}$ which is elaborated on page 502 of [55].) Hence

$$w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)} = \frac{4^n(n+d|m|)!}{(|m|!)^d}\left(\left(\frac{d}{4(|m|+1)}\right)^n + O(d^{n-1})\right). \tag{5.32}$$

Applying Stirling's approximation on $(n+d|m|)!$ completes the proof. □

Formula (5.32) suggests that $w_{(n,m\mathbf{1}_d)}$ is roughly equal to

$$\binom{n+d|m|}{|m|,\ldots,|m|,n}\left(\frac{d}{|m|+1}\right)^n n!$$

when d is substantially large. In the case that $m = 0$, we get $d^n n!$, which is the number of ways to construct a length n string w and a permutation w' of w, provided that the characters of w are always mutually distinct. This, of course, is untrue, and so $d^n n!$ is a bit of an overestimate for the number of abelian squares of length $2n$. However, if one chooses a character from Σ_d uniform randomly and independently for each letter of w, then the probability that w has no repeated characters is

$$\frac{d(d-1)\cdots(d-n+1)}{d^n} = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{d^{n-1}}\right).$$

The characters of w are therefore asymptotically almost surely different, and so $d^n n!$ is a satisfactory estimate for $w_{(n,\mathbf{0}_d)}$.

6. Discussion and Future Work

In this chapter we explore three possible areas of future work related to our exposition in Chapter 3. They concern a combinatorial analogue of Parseval's

equation, the Bernstein-Szegő measure moment problem, and the "stabilization" of symmetric functions.

6.1. **A Combinatorial Analogue of Parseval's Equation.** We begin by applying the Cauchy-Hadamard formula to W_ξ, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, to see that W_ξ has a radius of convergence of

$$\frac{1}{\limsup_{n\to\infty} |w_{(n,\xi)}|^{1/n}} = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n\to\infty} (d^{2n+d/2+\|\xi\|_1}(4\pi n)^{(1-d)/2})^{1/n}} = \frac{1}{d^2}. \tag{6.1}$$

With this, we can formally state the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *The spectral density function $\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}}$, with $|x| < 1/d^2$, is, up to sets of measure zero, the only operator $f : \mathbb{R} \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ that satisfies*

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|_1} W_\xi(x) = \widehat{f(\cdot\,; x)}(\xi)$$

for all $x \in (-1/d^2, 1/d^2)$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for $|x| < 1/d^2$ due to the stability of $p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}$ on this interval. Parseval's equation thus dictates that

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^d} x^{\|\xi\|_1} [W_\xi(x)]^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{[0,2\pi]^d} \left| \mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d}) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\theta < \infty, \tag{6.2}$$

for all $x \in (-1/d^2, 1/d^2)$. Uniqueness now follows from Plancherel's theorem. □

Observe that the proof of Theorem 6.1 relies only on the quadratic integrability of $\mathcal{S}_{p[x]^*_{1,d}}$ and nothing else. Parseval's equation and Plancherel's theorem handle the rest. The punchline to Theorem 6.1 holds generally in any compact abelian group, as we explain next. Details behind the vocabulary and analysis involved herein can be found in [26].

Lemma 6.2. *Let G be a compact abelian group with Haar measure μ and let $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{\mu}$ be the Pontrjagin duals of G and μ, respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fourier transform on $L^2_\mu(G)$, let $\mathfrak{B}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2_{\widehat{\mu}}(\widehat{G})$, and let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a family of power series in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ that share a common radius of convergence $R > 0$. If there is an operator $f : \mathbb{C} \to L^2_\mu(G)$ such that $\mathcal{F}f(\cdot\,; z)$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{B}$ onto $\mathfrak{G}$ for $|z| < R$, then*

$$\sum_{g\in\mathfrak{G}} |g(z)|^2 = \|\mathcal{F}f(\cdot\,; z)\|_2^2. \tag{6.3}$$

Furthermore, if $h(\cdot\,; z) \in L^2_\mu(G)$ and $\mathcal{F}h(\cdot\,; z)|_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathcal{F}f(\cdot\,; z)|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for $|z| < R$, then $h(\cdot\,; z) = f(\cdot\,; z)$ almost everywhere.

Lemma 6.2 is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is a unitary isometric isomorphism from $L^2_\mu(G)$ onto $L^2_{\widehat{\mu}}(\widehat{G})$. Although G need only be a locally compact abelian group to possess a Fourier transform, compactness means that the Peter-Weyl theorem holds. This equips $L^2_{\widehat{\mu}}(\widehat{G})$ with the inner product necessary to even discuss an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{B}$. If the binary operation on G is weakened to be noncommutative, then $\mathcal{F}$ takes values as Hilbert space operators, which strikes us as unhelpful; we are dealing with mere generating functions after all. Lemma 6.2 also suggests that such a family $\mathfrak{G}$ can be "canonically" indexed: simply set $g_{\mathbf{e}}(z) := \mathcal{F}f(\cdot\,; z)(\mathbf{e})$ for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathfrak{B}$.

One may wonder whether there is any combinatorial significance to (6.3). There is, but only under careful circumstances. If $\mathfrak{G}$ is a family of OGFs for unlabeled combinatorial classes, then the L^2 norm on $\widehat{G}$ inherits enumerative meaning, which we illustrate next. Here we incorporate the nomenclature of Theorem I.1 in [23].

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a family of unlabeled combinatorial classes and let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the corresponding family of OGFs. If there is a compact abelian group G and an operator $f : \mathbb{C} \to L^2(G)$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma (6.2), then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|\mathcal{F}f(\cdot\,; x)\|_2^2$ is the OGF for the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}\in\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. If g is the OGF of an unlabeled class $\mathcal{A}$, then g^2 is the OGF of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Since the sum in (6.3) converges for $|x| < R$ and the disjoint union of combinatorial classes translates as the sum of their generating functions, we see that $\sum_{g\in\mathfrak{G}} g^2(x)$ is the OGF of $\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}\in\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. □

Theorem 6.3 holds more generally in any inner product space. Indeed, if there is a complex inner product space $\mathcal{H}$, an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, and an $\mathcal{H}$-valued operator $\mathbf{v}_z, z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\langle \mathbf{v}_z, \cdot \rangle$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{B}$ onto a family $\mathfrak{G}$ of power series in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ sharing a common radius of convergence $R > 0$, then

$$\|\mathbf{v}_z\|^2 = \sum_{g\in\mathfrak{G}} |g(z)|^2$$

due to the Pythagorean theorem. We prefer the backdrop of compact abelian groups, however, for two reasons. First, the function $\mathcal{F}f(\cdot; z)$ in Lemma 6.2 is, in some sense, a "generating function of generating functions" and acquires both Fourier analytic and enumerative underpinnings. Secondly, we hope that by narrowing our attention to compact abelian groups, previously untapped tools from abstract harmonic analysis may help systematize both the singularity analysis of OGFs that happen to be images of some Fourier transform as well as the symbolic calculus of their corresponding combinatorial classes.

Example 6.4. If $\mathfrak{A} = \{\mathcal{W}_\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, $\mathfrak{G} = \{g_\xi : g_\xi(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|_1} W_\xi(x), \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, and $G = \mathbb{T}^d$, we recover the context for offset words over a d-letter alphabet. As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.9, $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}}(\xi) = x^{\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|_1} W_\xi(x)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and so, by Theorem 6.3, $\|\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]^*_{1,d}(\xi)}\|_2^2$ is the OGF for $\bigsqcup_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{W}_\xi \times \mathcal{W}_\xi$.

In light of Theorem 6.3, it is compelling to regard

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} \frac{1}{\left|1 - x p_{1,d}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d})\right|^4} \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

as the OGF for the number of strings in Σ_d^* that is the concatenation of two words offset by the same integer vector, counted according to the length of the string, by associating an ordered pair of strings (w, w') with ww'. This interpretation is flawed however. For instance, every abelian square w is the concatenation of two abelian squares in at least two ways, namely εw and $w\varepsilon$, which are counted as different in the preceding framework. (Table 1 even concretely shows that duplicates of the same ordered pair can emerge in the disjoint union.) Another challenge stems from the fact that the various classes of offset words are neither counted according to the

TABLE 1. Connection between $\|\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]_{1,2}^*}\|_2^2$ and $\bigsqcup_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathcal{W}_\xi \times \mathcal{W}_\xi$. For "cloned" ordered pairs, elementhood is also included.

n	$[x^n]\|\mathcal{S}_{p[\sqrt{x}]_{1,2}^*}\|_2^2$	length n elements of $\bigsqcup_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathcal{W}_\xi \times \mathcal{W}_\xi$
0	1	$(\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$
2	8	$(\varepsilon,11),(11,\varepsilon),(\varepsilon,22),(22,\varepsilon),$ $(1,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,0)},(1,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,0)},$ $(2,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,1)},(2,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-1)}$
4	54	$(\varepsilon,1111),(1111,\varepsilon),(\varepsilon,2222),(2222,\varepsilon),$ $(\varepsilon,1212),(1212,\varepsilon),(\varepsilon,1221),(1221,\varepsilon),$ $(\varepsilon,2112),(2112,\varepsilon),(\varepsilon,2121),(2121,\varepsilon),$ $(1,111)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,0)},(111,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,0)},$ $(1,111)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,0)},(111,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,0)},$ $(1,122),(122,1),(1,221),(221,1),$ $(1,212)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,0)},(212,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,0)},$ $(1,212)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,0)},(212,1)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,0)},$ $(2,222)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,1)},(222,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,1)},$ $(2,222)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-1)},(222,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-1)},$ $(2,112),(112,2),(2,211),(211,2),$ $(2,121)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,1)},(121,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,1)},$ $(2,121)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-1)},(121,2)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-1)},$ $(11,11)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,0)},(11,11)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(2,0)},(11,11)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-2,0)},$ $(22,22)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,0)},(22,22)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,2)},(22,22)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(0,-2)},$ $(11,22),(22,11),$ $(12,12)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,1)},(12,12)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,-1)},(12,12)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,-1)},$ $(21,21)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,1)},(21,21)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,1)},(21,21)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,-1)},$ $(12,21)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,1)},(12,21)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,-1)},$ $(21,12)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(1,1)},(21,12)\in\mathcal{W}^2_{(-1,-1)}$

length of the word nor are they pairwise disjoint as shown in Proposition 3.4. We do believe, however, that the above integral can be linked to string concatenations in spite of the aforementioned shortcomings.

6.2. **The Bernstein-Szegő Measure Moment Problem.** The classical moment problem asks to find necessary and sufficient conditions for which an arbitrary

sequence of real numbers $m_1, m_2, \ldots$ is the sequence of moments $\int_E x^n \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$ of a real measure μ over a specified range of integration E. (See, for instance, [1] and [47].) One modern version of this problem, and a particular source of motivation for this manuscript, is, given complex numbers $c_0 = \overline{c_0}, \ldots, c_n = \overline{c_{-n}}$, to find a positive measure σ on $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ with moments $\widehat{\sigma}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^k \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(z) = c_k$ for each $k \in [-n : n]$. We refer readers to [4] as a possible introduction to the study of assorted moment problems and other satellite topics. A *classical Bernstein-Szegő measure* is a measure on $\mathbb{T}$ of the form $|P(z)|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}z$, where P is a univariate strongly $\mathbb{D}$-stable polynomial. These measures were first studied by Szegő in [51]. Bernstein later considered a real line analog in [6].

The Bernstein-Szegő measure moment problem asks to find an n^{th} degree single-variable strongly $\mathbb{D}$-stable polynomial P so that the moments $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_P}(k)$ of the classical Bernstein-Szegő measure stemming from the spectral density function $\mathcal{S}_P$ satisfy $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_P}(k) = c_k$ for $k = -n, \ldots, n$. Equivalently, the Fourier coefficients

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ik\theta} \mathcal{S}_P(e^{i\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

must match the prescribed c_k. This moment problem is solvable if and only if the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ Toeplitz matrix $T = (c_{i-j})_{i,j=0}^n$ is positive definite. In this situation, the strongly $\mathbb{D}$-stable polynomial $P(z) = P_0 + \cdots + P_n z^n$, which is unique when we impose that $P_0 > 0$, can be found via the Yule-Walker equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & \overline{c_1} & \cdots & \overline{c_n} \\ c_1 & c_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \overline{c_1} \\ c_n & \cdots & c_1 & c_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\overline{P_0}\right)^{-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Historical progress towards this solution is grounded in the works of Carathéodory, Toeplitz, and Szegő. Documented accounts and synopses of the subsequent mathematics developed by these three are relayed in [1] and [2]. Proofs of a matrix-valued version and a stronger, operator-valued version of the prior fact are offered in [19] and [30], respectively.

In the series of papers [27], [20], and [21], Genin and Kamp, who are later accompanied by Delsarte, initiated research towards establishing a general theory of multivariable orthogonal polynomials and Bernstein-Szegő measures over $\mathbb{T}^d$. In the bivariate setting, complex numbers $c_{k,l}$, $(k,l) \in [0:n]\times[0:m]$ are given. Geronimo and Woerdeman [28] showed that there exists a strongly $\mathbb{D}^2$-stable polynomial

$$P(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} P_{k,l} z^k w^l$$

with $P_{0,0} > 0$ so that its spectral density function $\mathcal{S}_P$ has Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_P}(k,l) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ik\theta_1 - il\theta_2} \mathcal{S}_P(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) \, d\theta_1 d\theta_2 = c_{k,l}, \; k = 0, \ldots, n, \; l = 0, \ldots, m$$

if and only if there are complex numbers $c_{k,l}$, $(k,l) \in [1:n] \times [-m:-1]$, so that the $(n+1)(m+1) \times (n+1)(m+1)$ doubly indexed Toeplitz matrix $\Gamma = (C_{i-j})_{i,j=0}^{n}$, where $C_k = (c_{k,i-j})_{i,j=0}^{n}$ for $k = -n, \ldots, n$ and $c_{-k,-l} = \overline{c_{k,l}}$, has the following two properties:

(1) Γ is positive definite.

(2) The $(n+1)m \times (m+1)n$ submatrix of Γ obtained by removing scalar rows $1 + j(m+1)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$ and scalar columns $1, 2, \ldots, m+1$, has rank mn.

Note that there are two key differences between this theorem and the solution to the classical Bernstein-Szegő measure moment problem. First, the $c_{k,l}$ with indices of mixed sign are missing and need to be identified. Second, the positive-definiteness of Γ no longer guarantees the existence of a stable polynomial with the desired properties; a low-rank condition must be satisfied as well.

A related result is the Fejér-Riesz factorization lemma which states that a positive trigonometric polynomial on $\mathbb{T}$ can be written as the modulus squared of a strongly $\mathbb{D}$-stable polynomial of the same degree. Geronimo and Woerdeman [28] discovered a bivariate version of this fact as a corollary to their solution of the Bernstein-Szegő measure moment problem over $\mathbb{T}^2$. In particular, they showed that if $f(z,w) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \sum_{l=-m}^{m} f_{kl} z^k w^l$ is positive for $|z| = |w| = 1$, then there exists a strongly $\mathbb{D}^2$-stable polynomial P with $f(z,w) = |P(z,w)|^2$ if and only if the matrix Γ given above built from the Fourier coefficients $c_{k,l} := \widehat{\frac{1}{f}}(k,l)$ of the reciprocal of f satisfies condition (2) above.

An elusive open problem is whether Geronimo and Woerdeman's results can be further generalized to three or more variables. We also wonder if there is anything combinatorially noteworthy about $|p[x]_{r,d}^{*}|^2$ as a Fejér-Riesz product. Moreover, are there other combinatorial classes whose generating functions are moments of Bernstein-Szegő measures?

To many readers, our appeal to spectral density functions may be a tad mysterious. In the end, we believe that there is something intrinsically special about Bernstein-Szegő measures and spectral density functions, both as analytic and, now, combinatorial objects. We bring up the Bernstein-Szegő measure moment and Fejér-Riesz factorization problems in hopes that, in light of this paper, others also see them as questions of combinatorial interest.

6.3. **Stabilized Symmetric Functions.** It was a wonderful surprise to us that the Fourier coefficients of the stabilized power sum symmetric polynomials are OGFs for combinatorial classes of offset words. This prompts us to speculate about similar stabilization procedures for symmetric functions as a whole. Can one suitably "stabilize" any symmetric function in such a way that the Fourier coefficients of its spectral density function are OGFs for a family of combinatorial classes comprised of elements from some free object over a finite set? Furthermore, would such classes unilaterally categorize all finite words in some fashion? Or are our results here utterly coincidental?

We hope that there are still some profound interactions between the theories of symmetric functions and spectral density functions waiting to be unearthed. There is assuredly much remaining to be understood about both areas.

Acknowledgments

This collaboration evolved from a doctoral thesis project assigned to the second author by his thesis advisor, Hugo Woerdeman. We thank Dr. Woerdeman for his guidance and feedback. We also thank Robert Boyer, Eric Schmutz, and Robin Pemantle for engaging us in worthwhile technical discussions about certain aspects of this paper. The first author also thanks the conference organizers of the 2016 MAAGC workshop and Permutation Patterns 2016 for accepting our abstracts for poster sessions while this project was very much still a work in progress. We also thank the participants of those conferences who were interested in our poster. Their questions helped us decide how to motivate this subject.

References

[1] Akhiezer, N. I., The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis, Translated by N. Kemmer, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, (1965).

[2] Akhiezer, N. I. and Krein, M. Some questions in the theory of moments, translated by W. Fleming and D. Prill. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **2**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., (1962), ISBN 978-0-821-88640-3.

[3] Andrews, George E., Kolitsch, Louis E., and Ruehr, O.G., Comment on problem 87-2, *SIAM Review*, **30**, (1988), no. 1, 130.

[4] Bakonyi, Mihály and Woerdeman, Hugo J., Matrix completions, moments, and sums of Hermitian squares, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (2011), ISBN 978-0-691-12889-4.

[5] Bender, Carl M. and Brody, Dorje C. and Meister, Bernhard K., On powers of Bessel functions, *J. Math. Phys.*, **44**, (2003), no. 1, 309–314,

[6] Bernstein, S., Sur une classe de polynomes orthogonaux, *Commum. Kharkow*, **4**, (1930), 79–93.

[7] Borcea, Julius and Brändén, Petter, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. I. Linear operators preserving stability, *Invent. Math.*, **177**, (2009), no. 3, 541–569.

[8] Borcea, Julius and Brändén, Petter, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. II. Theory of stable polynomials and applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **62**, (2009), no. 12, 1595–1631.

[9] Borcea, Julius and Brändén, Petter, Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries, *Ann. of Math. Second Series*, **170**, (2009), no. 1, 465–492.

[10] Borcea, Julius and Brändén, Petter and Liggett, Thomas M., Negative dependence and the geometry of polynomials, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **22**, (2009), no. 2, 521–567.

[11] Brändén, Petter and Haglund, James and Visontai, Mirkó and Wagner, David G., Proof of the monotone column permanent conjecture, In *Notions of positivity and the geometry of polynomials*, Trends Math., 63–78, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, (2011), ISBN 978-3-0348-0141-6.

[12] Burg, J. P., Maximum entropy spectral analysis, Ph.D. thesis, Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, California, (1975).

[13] Callan, David, Card deals, lattice paths, abelian words and combinatorial identities, (2008), arXiv:0812.4784 [math.CO].

[14] Cambanis, Stamatis and Richards, Donald, Problem 87-2: a multinomial summation, *SIAM Review*, **29**, (1987), no. 1, 121–122.

[15] Choe, Young-Bin and Oxley, James G. and Sokal, Alan D. and Wagner, David G., Homogeneous multivariate polynomials with the half-plane property, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **32**, (2004), nos. 1–2, 88–187. Special issue on the Tutte polynomial.

[16] Collins, C. B., The role of Bell polynomials in integration, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **131**, (2001), no. 1–2, 195–222.

[17] Craven, Thomas and Csordas, George, Composition theorems, multiplier sequences and complex zero decreasing sequences, In *Value distribution theory and related topics*, volume 3 of *Adv. Complex Anal. Appl.*, 131–166, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, (2004), ISBN 978-1-4020-7950-4.

[18] Daubechies, Ingrid, Ten lectures on wavelets, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, **61**, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, (1992), ISBN 978-0-89871-274-2.

[19] Delsarte, Philippe and Genin, Yves V. and Kamp, Yves G., Orthogonal polynomial matrices on the unit circle, *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems*, **CAS-25**, (1978), no. 3, 149–160.
[20] Delsarte, Philippe and Genin, Yves V. and Kamp, Yves G., Planar least squares inverse polynomials. I. Algebraic properties, *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems*, **26**, (1979), no. 1, 59–66.
[21] Delsarte, Philippe and Genin, Yves V. and Kamp, Yves G., Half-plane Toeplitz systems, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **26**, (1980), no. 4, 465–474.
[22] Erdős, Paul, Some unsolved problems, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, **6**, (1961), 221–254.
[23] Flajolet, Philippe and Sedgewick, Robert, Analytic combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2009), ISBN 978-0-521-89806-5.
[24] Foias, Ciprian and Frazho, Arthur E., The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **44**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1990), ISBN 978-3-7643-2461-9.
[25] Foias, C. and Frazho, A. E. and Gohberg, I. and Kaashoek, M. A., Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **100**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1998), ISBN 978-3-7643-5889-0.
[26] Folland, Gerald B., A course in abstract harmonic analysis, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1995), ISBN 978-0-8493-8490-7.
[27] Genin, Yves V. and Kamp, Yves V., Two-dimensional stability and orthogonal polynomials on the hypercircle, *Proc. IEEE*, **65**, (1977), no. 6, 873–881.
[28] Geronimo, Jeffrey S. and Woerdeman, Hugo J., Positive extensions, Fejér-Riesz factorization and autoregressive filters in two variables, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **160**, (2004), no. 3, 839–906.
[29] Gohberg, I. (ed.), I. Schur methods in operator theory and signal processing, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **18**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1986), ISBN 978-0-817-61776-9.
[30] Gohberg, I. and Kaashoek, M. A. and Woerdeman, H. J., The band method for positive and strictly contractive extension problems: an alternative version and new applications, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **12**, (1989), no. 3, 343–382.
[31] Gould, H. W., Generalization of Hermite's divisibility theorems and the Mann-Shanks primality criterion for s-Fibonomial arrays, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **12**, (1974), 157–166.
[32] Greenhill, Catherine and Janson, Svante and Ruciński, Andrzej, On the number of perfect matchings in random lifts, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, **19**, (2010), no. 5–6, 791–817.
[33] Grinshpan, Anatolii and Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Dmitry S. and Woerdeman, Hugo J., Norm-constrained determinantal representations of multivariable polynomials, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **3**, (2013), 635–654.
[34] Gurvits, Leonid, Van der Waerden/Schrijver-Valiant like conjectures and stable (aka hyperbolic) homogeneous polynomials: one theorem for all, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **15**, (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 66, 26.
[35] Hu, G. Y. and O'Connell, R. F., Analytical inversion of symmetric tridiagonal matrices, *J. Phys. A*, **29**, (1996), no. 7, 1511–1513.
[36] Kulkarni, Devadatta and Schmidt, Darrell and Tsui, Sze-Kai, Eigenvalues of tridiagonal pseudo-Toeplitz matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **297**, (1999), no. 1–3, 63–80.
[37] Macdonald, I. G., Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences, 2nd edition, (2015), ISBN 978-0-19-873912-8.
[38] Marcus, Adam W. and Spielman, Daniel A. and Srivastava, Nikhil, Interlacing families II: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison-Singer problem, *Ann. of Math. Second Series*, **182**, (2015), no. 1, 327–350,
[39] Moll, Victor H. and Vignat, Christophe, On polynomials connected to powers of Bessel functions, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **10**, (2014), no. 5, 1245–1257.
[40] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, available electronically at `oeis.org`.
[41] Parikh, R. J., Language generating devices, *Quarterly Progress Report No. 60*, *Research Laboratory of Electronics, MIT* (1961), 199-212.
[42] Pemantle, Robin, Hyperbolicity and stable polynomials in combinatorics and probability, Current developments in mathematics, 2011, pages 57–123, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2012), ISBN 978-1-57-146239-8.

[43] Pemantle, Robin and Wilson, Mark C., Asymptotic expansions of oscillatory integrals with complex phase, In: *Algorithmic probability and combinatorics*, Contemp. Math., **520**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2010), 221–240.
[44] Richmond, L. B. and Shallit, Jeffrey, Counting abelian squares, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **16**, (2009), no. 1.
[45] Riordan, John, Combinatorial identities, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, (1968), ISBN 978-0-471-72275-5.
[46] Rudin, Walter, Principles of mathematical analysis, 3rd edition, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Auckland-Düsseldorf, (1976), ISBN 978-0-070-54235-8.
[47] Shohat, J. A. and Tamarkin, J. D., The problem of moments, American Mathematical Society Mathematical surveys, vol. I, American Mathematical Society, New York, (1943), ISBN 978-0-821-81501-4.
[48] Stahl, Herbert R., Proof of the BMV conjecture, *Acta Math.*, **211**, (2013), no. 2, 255–290.
[49] Stanley, Richard P., Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **62**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999), ISBN 978-0-521-56069-1.
[50] Strehl, Volker, Binomial identities—combinatorial and algorithmic aspects, *Discrete Math,*, **136**, (1994), nos. 1–3, 309–346.
[51] Szegő, G., Über orthogonalsysteme von polynomen, *Math. Z.*, **4**, (1919), 139–151.
[52] Wagner, David G., Matroid inequalities from electrical network theory, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **11**, (2004/06), no. 2, Article 1, 17 pp. (electronic).
[53] Wagner, David G., Negatively correlated random variables and Mason's conjecture for independent sets in matroids, *Ann. Comb.*, **12**, (2008), no. 2, 211–239.
[54] Wagner, David G., Multivariate stable polynomials: theory and applications, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **48**, (2011), no. 1, 53–84.
[55] Watson, G. N., A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Reprint of the second (1944) edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995), ISBN 978-0-521-48391-3.

Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104-2875, USA
E-mail address: cdb72@drexel.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The College of New Jersey, Ewing, NJ 08628-0718, USA
E-mail address: wong24@tcnj.edu